

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				20060112	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/658,738	LAMBERT, JARON	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michelle K. Lay	2672 ·	

	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michelle K. Lay	2672				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Michelle K. Lay.	(3) <u>Ryan Yang</u> .					
(2) James Ivey (37,016).	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>12 January 2006</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,6,13 and 21</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: "Special Edition Using Microsoft Outlook".						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	//A				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render yould render the	er the claims claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANC	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
		٠				
		•				
·						
•						
		•				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In regards to "time accounting", in order to hold more weight, it needs to be defined within the body of the claims.

Claim 21, "moving the current time representation" is graphical instead of textual and incrementing. The prior art teaches a digital clock advancing. This limitation overcomes the prior art.

Claim 6, Applicant argued "user generated task" does not correspond to the "events" used in Microsoft Outlook. Applicant defined task as pre selected and does not require the used to define the task after time is defined. Examiner said she would need to look at the prior art closer to decide if they do or do not correspond.

Claim 13, "moving the start representation of the second ..." overcomes Microsoft Outlook where Outlook only provides a warning if tasks are scheduled during the same time.

A new search would be given based on discussions if RCE is filed.